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Motivation

- **Social networks** provide valuable information on social relationships among people (users).
- Associating users to a **spatial network** can provide geographical information on locations that users visit.
- Combining social networks and spatial networks is required for answering queries whose constraints comprise spatial and social conditions.
Life Patterns

- **Life patterns** connect people and places
- A life pattern is essentially a triple
  
  \( (\text{user, geographic entity, time unit}) \)

- For example,
  
  \( (\text{Alice, Tower of London, Sundays}) \)

  specifies that Alice visits the Tower of London, every Sunday

- Life patterns can be extracted from GPS logs. As shown in the work of Ye et al.
Example

Alice jogs every morning, and she wants to find a partner for jogging

• A potential partner will be someone who:
  1. Is a friend of Alice or a friend of a friend
  2. Frequently jogs in the same area where Alice jogs and at similar times as she does

The life patterns will indicate presence in the same parks at similar times
Proposed Model

• A social network holds information about people and their relationships

Who are Alice’s friends?
Proposed Model

- A spatial network holds information about spatial entities and their relationships

**Where** are the parks in Alice’s neighborhood?
Proposed Model
Integrating the Networks

• Life patterns are generated from GPS log files and they connect people to places they frequently visit.

When do these people visit the parks?
Integrating the Networks

- A spatio-social network (SSN) comprises both networks and the life patterns that connect them

**Who** has been

**Where** and **When**
Social Network

The social network is a graph where:

- The nodes represent real-world people, namely users, with their attributes
- The edges represent relationships, typically friendship relationships, between users
Geographical Hierarchy
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Geographical Hierarchy

Adjacency edges represent a direct real-world connection between two geographical entities from the same hierarchy level.
Spatial Network

The spatial network is a graph where:

- Each node represents a geographic entity
- Two types of edges:
  1. Hierarchical edges
  2. Adjacency edges
Time Patterns

• Time patterns represent repeated events: “every week”, “every day”, "every workday”, etc.

• There is a hierarchy of time patterns:
  – If an event happens at some level in the hierarchy, it also occurs in the higher levels
  – If Alice visits 10 Downing St. every workday, then Alice visits 10 Downing St. every week, every month, etc.
Life Patterns

• Associate between users and geographic entities
• Hold time patterns
• Have a *confidence* rank
Life Patterns – Example

• Alice visits 10 Downing St. every workday from 10 A.M to 12 P.M

Confidence value was omitted, for simplicity
The Query Language

- We developed a query language that has the form of an algebra with seven operators:

1. Select
2. Extend
3. Union
4. Intersect
5. Difference
6. Bridge
7. Multi-Bridge

Each operator returns a collection of nodes of a single network (either users or geographic entities)
The proposed algebra was designed to be

- Expressive
- Yet, efficient – e.g., no Cartesian product
The Select Operator

- Receives a set of nodes from a network (social or spatial) and a condition
- Returns the nodes that satisfy the condition

`select(nodes_set, condition)`
select($N_{social}$, color = blue )
The Extend Operator

- Receives a set of nodes from a network (social or spatial) and a parameter $n$
- Returns the set of nodes that are reachable by paths with maximum length of $n$ from the given nodes

\[ \text{extend}(\text{nodes}_\text{set}, n) \]
Extend – Example

\[ \text{extend(} \text{select}(N_{\text{social}}, \text{color} = \text{green}), 2) \]
Union, Intersect and Difference

- Receive two sets of nodes – all the nodes from the same network
- Have the same semantics as in set theory

union(nodes_set_A, nodes_set_B)
intersect(nodes_set_A, nodes_set_B)
difference(nodes_set_A, nodes_set_B)
The Bridge Operator

- Receives nodes of one network, a time pattern and a confidence threshold
- Returns the nodes of the other network that are connected to the nodes of the given node set by those life patterns that satisfy the given time pattern and confidence threshold

bridge(nodes_set, time-pattern, confidence)
Bridge – Example I

\[ A = \text{select}(N_{\text{spatial}}, \text{address like '\% 10 Downing St\%'}) \]

\[ \text{bridge}(A, \text{'every day'}, 0.8) \]
Bridge – Example II

A = select(N_{social}, color = yellow)
B = extend(A, 2)
bridge(B, ‘every morning’, 0.8)
The Multi-Bridge Operator

• Similar to Bridge, except that the returned nodes are only those that are connected to a certain percentage of the nodes of the given set

\[ \text{Mbridge}(\text{nodes\_set}, \text{time-pattern}, \text{confidante}, \text{percentage}) \]
A = select\left(N_{\text{social}}, \text{color} = \text{yellow}\right)
B = \text{extend}(A, 2)
\text{Mbridge}(B, \text{‘every morning’, } 0.8, 50\%)

The operator can be used to discover groups with socio-spatial similarity
John is searching for new friends to go out with

FriendsOfJohn = extend(select(N_social, name='John'), 1)
Returns John’s friends

Entertainment = select(Mbridge(FriendsOfJohn, ‘every week’, 0.8, 60%), category=‘entertainment’)
Returns entertainment place where John’s friends frequently visit

PotentialNewFriends = Mbridge(Entertainment, ‘every week’, 0.8, 80%)
Returns people that frequently visit these places
Queries – Example I

Find partners for a carpool

John lives in Downing St. and works in Heathrow airport

He wants to find co-workers for a carpool

Neighborhood = extend(select(N_{spatial}, address like ‘%Donwning%’), 100)

Returns the geographic entities near John’s home

Neighbors = bridge(Neighborhood, ‘every morning’, 0.8)

Returns people who stay every morning in John’s neighborhood

Co-workers = bridge(select(N_{spatial}, address like ‘% Heathrow airport %’), ‘every workday’, 0.8)

Returns people that are in Heathrow airport every workday
Find partners for a carpool

John lives in Downing St. and works in Heathrow airport
He wants to find co-workers for a carpool

Neighbors = bridge(Neighborhood, ‘every morning’, 0.8)
Returns people who stay every morning in John’s neighborhood

Co-workers = bridge(select(N_{spatial}, address like ‘% Heathrow airport %’), ‘every workday’, 0.8)
Returns people that are in Heathrow airport every workday

Potential = intersect(Neighbors, Co-workers)
Returns potential users for John’s carpool
Queries – Example II

Find a jogging partner for Alice

ParksInAliceHood = select(extend(select(N_{\text{spatial}}, address = Alice_address), 1000), type = park)

Returns the parks in Alice’s neighborhood

UsersInParks = bridge(ParksInAliceHood, ‘mornings in the week’, 0.6)

Returns people that spend time during the mornings in parks at Alice’s neighborhood
Find a jogging partner for Alice

**UsersInParks = bridge(ParksInAliceHood, ‘mornings in the week’, 0.6)**

Returns people that spend time during the mornings in parks at Alice’s neighborhood

**FriendsOfAlice = extend(select(N_{social}, name=‘Alice’), 2)**

Returns Alice’s friends

**PotentialPartner = intersect(FriendsOfAlice, UsersInParks)**

Returns potential jogging partners
Implementation

Goals:
• Demonstrate the feasibility of the model
• Show that a socio-spatial network can be built effectively upon common data-storage tools

Two implementations
1. Relational based
2. Graph based

• Experimentally compare the two implementations
Graph-Based Implementation

- Graph database management system provides a natural storage for the SSN
- The implementation uses Neo4j – an open source graph database management system, in Java
- The SSN network is stored as a graph with attributes on the spatial and social nodes
- Life patterns are edges with the time pattern and confidence as attributes
Relational Implementation

The Relations

• **Users**
• Friendship
• **Geographic entities**
• Hierarchy
• Adjacency
• **Life pattern**
Relational Implementation

• The query operations are translated to SQL queries
  
  ```sql
  SELECT user_id
  FROM users
  WHERE name = 'John Smith'
  ```

• Complex queries are translated to nested SQL queries

• We used **optimization** techniques to improve the efficiency of query evaluation
Experiments

```
extend(N, name = 'john', 3)
```

Large effect of a cache on the running time, in the relational-based implementation

Almost no effect of the cache on the running time, in the graph-based implementation

![Graph showing the effect of cache on running time for Neo4j and MySQL]
Experiments

The graph model shows better result for large datasets.

In both models the cache significantly improves the efficiency.

bridge(bridge(bridge(select(N, name='John'),*,0.8),*,0.8 ),*,0.8)
Experiments

\[
\text{Paramedics} = \text{Select}(N_{social}, \text{occupation}='\text{Paramedics'})
\]

\[
\text{Query}_1 = \text{Bridge}(\text{Paramedics}, '\text{some\_night\_of\_the\_week}', 0.85)
\]

Find where paramedics might live

Queries with bridge are evaluated more efficiently over the graph DBMS than over the relational DBMS
Experiments

John = Select($social, name='John')
Places = Bridge(John, all, 0.5)
Query_2 = MBridge(Places, all, 0.5, 20%)

Find people that visit in 20% or more at the same places as John

The evaluation of Mbridge is more efficient over RDBMS than over graph DBMS
Conclusions

- We presented a model for representing the integration of social networks with spatial networks
- We provided an algebra that allows querying the combined networks, effectively and efficiently
- We compared an implementation of the proposed model over graph DBMS and RDBMS, and we illustrated the superiority of the graph DBMS over the RDBMS, for all the operators except the multi-bridge
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