

# CS 476 – Programming Language Design

## Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

# Writing Functions on Syntax

- Step 1: write down what's in the language in English
- Step 2: write a grammar that describes all possible programs
- Step 3: write a datatype that abstracts the grammar
- Result: a datatype of *programs in the language*, so we can write functions that operate on programs
  
- Let's try it out on a programming language!

# Language #1: Expressions

- Simple arithmetic and boolean operations
- Every term computes to a *value*, either int or bool
- Arithmetic operators: plus, minus, times
- Boolean operators: and, or, not, comparison, if-then-else
- `3 + 5 * 9` should compute to 48
- `if 1 = 0 or 1 = 1 then 2 else 4` should compute to 2

# Expressions: Syntax

- Arithmetic operators: plus, minus, times
- Boolean operators: and, or, not, comparison, if-then-else

# Expressions: Syntax

$E ::= \langle \# \rangle$

|  $E + E$  |  $E - E$  |  $E * E$

|  $\langle \text{bool} \rangle$

|  $E \text{ and } E$  |  $E \text{ or } E$

|  $\text{not } E$

|  $E = E$

|  $\text{if } E \text{ then } E \text{ else } E$

type exp = Num of int

| Add of exp \* exp | ...

| Bool of bool

| And of exp \* exp | ...

| Not of exp

| Eq of exp \* exp

| If of exp \* exp \* exp

# Interpreters

- An *interpreter* is a function that takes a program and returns its result
- One way to implement a programming language!
  - Interpreted languages: Python, Javascript, JVM bytecode, ...
  - Alternative to *compiling*
  - Usually less efficient, but easier to write
- Even for compiled languages, useful as a *reference*
  - like <https://github.com/WebAssembly/spec/tree/master/interpreter>

# Expressions: Syntax

$E ::= \langle \# \rangle$   
|  $E + E$  |  $E - E$  |  $E * E$   
|  $\langle \text{bool} \rangle$   
|  $E \text{ and } E$  |  $E \text{ or } E$   
|  $\text{not } E$   
|  $E = E$   
|  $\text{if } E \text{ then } E \text{ else } E$

type exp = Num of int  
| Add of exp \* exp | ...  
| Bool of bool  
| And of exp \* exp | ...  
| Not of exp  
| Eq of exp \* exp  
| If of exp \* exp \* exp



# Expressions: Interpreter

- Every term computes to a *value*, either int or bool

type retval = IntVal of int | BoolVal of bool

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval =      (* let rec eval e = *)
```

```
  match e with
```

```
  | Num i ->
```

```
  | Add (e1, e2) ->
```

```
  | ...
```

# Expressions: Interpreter

- Every term computes to a *value*, either int or bool

type retval = IntVal of int | BoolVal of bool

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval =      (* let rec eval e = *)
```

```
  match e with
```

```
  | Num i -> IntVal i
```

```
  | Add (e1, e2) ->
```

```
  | ...
```

# Expressions: Interpreter

- Every term computes to a *value*, either int or bool

type retval = IntVal of int | BoolVal of bool

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval = (* let rec eval e = *)
```

```
  match e with
```

```
  | Num i -> IntVal i
```

```
  | Add (e1, e2) -> eval e1 + eval e2
```

```
  | ... Error: This expression has type retval but  
          an expression was expected of type int
```

# Expressions: Interpreter

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval =  
  match e with  
  | Num i -> IntVal i  
  | Add (e1, e2) ->  
    (match eval e1, eval e2 with  
     | IntVal i1, IntVal i2 -> IntVal (i1 + i2)  
     | _, _ -> ?)
```

- Exercise: What should happen if we try to add things that aren't integers?

# Expressions: Interpreter with Errors

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval =  
  match e with  
  | Num i -> IntVal i  
  | Add (e1, e2) ->  
    (match eval e1, eval e2 with  
     | IntVal i1, IntVal i2 -> IntVal (i1 + i2)  
     | _, _ -> None)
```

```
type 'a option = Some of 'a | None
```

# Expressions: Interpreter with Errors

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval option =  
  match e with  
  | Num i -> IntVal i  
  | Add (e1, e2) ->  
    (match eval e1, eval e2 with  
     | IntVal i1, IntVal i2 -> IntVal (i1 + i2)  
     | _, _ -> None)
```

```
type 'a option = Some of 'a | None
```

# Expressions: Interpreter with Errors

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval option =  
  match e with  
  | Num i -> Some (IntVal i)  
  | Add (e1, e2) ->  
    (match eval e1, eval e2 with  
     | Some (IntVal i1), Some (IntVal i2) -> Some (IntVal (i1 + i2))  
     | _, _ -> None)
```

```
type 'a option = Some of 'a | None
```

## Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.



# Expressions: Interpreter with Errors

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval option =  
  match e with  
  | ...  
  | Bool b -> Some (BoolVal b)  
  | And (e1, e2) ->  
    (match eval e1, eval e2 with  
     | Some (BoolVal b1), Some (BoolVal b2) ->  
       Some (BoolVal (b1 && b2))  
     | _, _ -> None)
```

# Expressions: Interpreter with Errors

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval option =  
  match e with  
  | ...  
  | Eq (e1, e2) ->  
    (match eval e1, eval e2 with  
     | Some (IntVal i1), Some (IntVal i2) -> Some (BoolVal (i1 = i2))  
     | ...)
```

- What kinds of results should we be able to compare?

# Expressions: Interpreting Comparison

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval option =  
  match e with  
  | ...  
  | Eq (e1, e2) ->  
    (match eval e1, eval e2 with  
     | Some v1, Some v2 -> Some (BoolVal (v1 = v2))  
     | ...)
```

# Expressions: Interpreting Comparison

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval option =
```

```
  match e with
```

```
  | ...
```

```
  | Eq (e1, e2) -> Some (BoolVal (eval e1 = eval e2))
```

- Should we be able to compare ints and bools? Should two erroneous expressions be equal? Depends on what kind of language we want!

# Expressions: Interpreter with Errors

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : retval option =  
  match e with  
  | ...  
  | If (e, e1, e2) ->  
    (match eval e with  
     | Some (BoolVal b) -> if b then eval e1 else eval e2  
     | _ -> None)
```

# Expressions: Int-only Interpreter

```
let rec eval (e : exp) : int =  
  match e with  
  | Num i -> i  
  | Add (e1, e2) -> eval e1 + eval e2  
  | Bool b -> if b then 1 else 0  
  | ...  
  | If (e, e1, e2) -> if eval e <> 0 then eval e1 else eval e2
```

- Simpler interpreter, but behavior may surprise programmers!

## Questions

Nobody has responded yet.  
Hang tight! Responses are coming in.