

CS 476 – Programming Language Design

William Mansky

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$
 $T ::= \text{int} \mid T \rightarrow T$

Exercise: What features of OCaml does this language not have yet?

From Typed Lambda Calculus to OCaml

- User-friendly syntax
- Basic types, tuples, records
- Inductive datatypes and pattern-matching
- Local declarations
- References
- Type inference
- Generics/polymorphism

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$T ::= \text{int} \mid T \rightarrow T$

Simple OCaml

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \text{fun } (\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T) \rightarrow L \mid LL \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$T ::= \text{int} \mid T \rightarrow T$

Simple OCaml: Tuples

$L ::= \dots \mid (L, L) \mid \text{fst } L \mid \text{snd } L$

$T ::= \dots \mid T * T$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash l_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (l_1, l_2) : \tau_1 * \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l : \tau_1 * \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } l : \tau_1}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l : \tau_1 * \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } l : \tau_2}$$

Simple OCaml: Tuples

$L ::= \dots \mid (L, L) \mid \text{fst } L \mid \text{snd } L$

$T ::= \dots \mid T * T$

(v_1, v_2) is a value

$$\frac{l_1 \rightarrow l'_1}{(l_1, l_2) \rightarrow (l'_1, l_2)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{fst } (v_1, v_2) \rightarrow v_1}$$

$$\frac{l_2 \rightarrow l'_2}{(l_1, l_2) \rightarrow (l_1, l'_2)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{snd } (v_1, v_2) \rightarrow v_2}$$

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

Simple OCaml: Records

```
type person = { name : string; age : int; id : int };;  
let a = { name = "Alice"; age = 22; id = 123456 };;
```

- Nominal (“named”) type: the type of a is `person`
- Structural type: the type of a is
`{ name : string; age : int; id : int }`

Simple OCaml: Records

$L ::= \dots \mid \{ \langle \text{ident} \rangle = L; \dots; \langle \text{ident} \rangle = L \} \mid L . \langle \text{ident} \rangle$

$T ::= \dots \mid \{ \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T; \dots; \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T \}$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash l_n : \tau_n}{\Gamma \vdash \{f_1 = l_1; \dots; f_n = l_n\} : \{f_1 : \tau_1, \dots, f_n : \tau_n\}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l : \{f_1 : \tau_1, \dots, f_n : \tau_n\}}{\Gamma \vdash l . f_i : \tau_i}$$

Simple OCaml: Records

$L ::= \dots \mid \{ \langle \text{ident} \rangle = L; \dots; \langle \text{ident} \rangle = L \} \mid L . \langle \text{ident} \rangle$

$T ::= \dots \mid \{ \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T; \dots; \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T \}$

$\{f_1 = v_1; \dots; f_n = v_n\}$ is a value

$$l_i \rightarrow l'_i$$

$$\{f_1 = l_1; \dots; f_i = l_i; \dots; f_n = l_n\} \rightarrow \{f_1 = l_1; \dots; f_i = l'_i; \dots; f_n = l_n\}$$

$$\{f_1 = v_1; \dots; f_i = v_i; \dots; f_n = v_n\} . f_i \rightarrow v_i$$

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.
Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

From Typed Lambda Calculus to OCaml

- User-friendly syntax
- Basic types, tuples, records
- Inductive datatypes and pattern-matching
- Local declarations
- References
- Type inference
- Generics/polymorphism

OCaml*: Sum Types

```
int + bool
```

```
(* like type int+bool = inl int | inr bool *)
```

```
inl 3 : int + bool
```

```
inr true : int + bool
```

```
match (a : int + bool) with
```

```
| inl i -> i + 1
```

```
| inr b -> if b then 1 else 0
```

OCaml*: Sum Types

$L ::= \dots \mid \text{inl } L \mid \text{inr } L$

$\mid (\text{match } L \text{ with } \text{inl } \langle \text{ident} \rangle \rightarrow L \mid \text{inr } \langle \text{ident} \rangle \rightarrow L)$

$T ::= \dots \mid T + T$

OCaml*: Sum Types

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inl } l : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inr } l : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

?

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{match } l \text{ with inl } x_1 \rightarrow l_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \rightarrow l_2) : \tau}$$

Exercise: How should we typecheck a match statement?

OCaml*: Sum Types

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inl } l : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inr } l : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \quad \Gamma[x_1 \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash l_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma[x_2 \mapsto \tau_2] \vdash l_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{match } l \text{ with inl } x_1 \rightarrow l_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \rightarrow l_2) : \tau}$$

OCaml*: Sum Types

`inl v` and `inr v` are values

$$\frac{l \rightarrow l'}{\text{inl } l \rightarrow \text{inl } l'}$$

$$\frac{l \rightarrow l'}{\text{inr } l \rightarrow \text{inr } l'}$$

$$(\text{match } l \text{ with } \text{inl } x_1 \rightarrow l_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \rightarrow l_2) \rightarrow ?$$

OCaml*: Sum Types

$$l \rightarrow l'$$

$$\frac{}{(\text{match } l \text{ with inl } x_1 \rightarrow l_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \rightarrow l_2) \rightarrow (\text{match } l' \text{ with inl } x_1 \rightarrow l_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \rightarrow l_2)}$$

$$\frac{}{(\text{match inl } v \text{ with inl } x_1 \rightarrow l_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \rightarrow l_2) \rightarrow l_1}$$

$$\frac{}{(\text{match inr } v \text{ with inl } x_1 \rightarrow l_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \rightarrow l_2) \rightarrow l_2}$$

OCaml*: Sum Types

$$l \rightarrow l'$$

$$\frac{}{(\text{match } l \text{ with inl } x_1 \rightarrow l_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \rightarrow l_2) \rightarrow (\text{match } l' \text{ with inl } x_1 \rightarrow l_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \rightarrow l_2)}$$

$$(\text{match inl } v \text{ with inl } x_1 \rightarrow l_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \rightarrow l_2) \rightarrow [x_1 \mapsto v]l_1$$

$$(\text{match inr } v \text{ with inl } x_1 \rightarrow l_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \rightarrow l_2) \rightarrow [x_2 \mapsto v]l_2$$

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

HW6 Overview

- Interpreter for a simple functional language
- Ignore the definition of substitution: it's complicated, but for our purposes it just works