

CS 476 – Programming Language Design

William Mansky

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

From Typed Lambda Calculus to OCaml

- User-friendly syntax
- Basic types, tuples, records
- Inductive datatypes and pattern-matching
- Local declarations
- References
- Type inference
- Generics/polymorphism

Constraint-Based Type Inference

- Step 1: gather constraints, outputs pair (τ, C) such that if C can be solved, τ is the type of the expression
- Step 2: unify constraints C , obtain solving substitution σ
- Step 3: apply σ to τ to get the type of the expression

```
let type_of (gamma : context) (e : exp) =  
    let (t, c) = get_constraints gamma e in  
    let s = unify c in apply_subst s t
```

Constraint-Based Type Inference: Example

$\{\} \vdash (\text{fun } f \rightarrow \text{fun } x \rightarrow f\ x + f\ 3) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{int} \mid C$

$C = \{\tau_3 = \text{int}, \tau_4 = \text{int}, \tau_1 = \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3, \tau_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \tau_4\}$

$\sigma = \{\tau_3 \mapsto \text{int}, \tau_4 \mapsto \text{int}, \tau_1 \mapsto \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \tau_2 \mapsto \text{int}\}$

$[\sigma](\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{int}) = (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

$\{\} \vdash (\text{fun } f \rightarrow \text{fun } x \rightarrow f\ x + f\ 3) : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

Universal Polymorphism

- What happens when we do type inference and end up with variables in the final type?

inferred type for `fun x -> 5 : $\tau_1 \rightarrow \text{int}$`

inferred type for `fun x -> x : $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_1$`

- What should the type checker do in this case?

Universal Polymorphism

- What happens when we do type inference and end up with variables in the final type?

inferred type for `fun x -> 5 : $\tau_1 \rightarrow \text{int}$`

inferred type for `fun x -> x : $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_1$`

- We could fail, and ask the user to specify the type of the argument

Universal Polymorphism

- What happens when we do type inference and end up with variables in the final type?

inferred type for `fun x -> 5 : $\tau_1 \rightarrow \text{int}$`

inferred type for `fun x -> x : $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_1$`

- We could let the user apply `fun x -> x` to any input!

`(fun x -> x) 1 = 1` `(fun x -> x) true = true`

`(fun x -> x) (fun y -> y) = fun y -> y`

Universal Polymorphism

- What happens when we do type inference and end up with variables in the final type?

```
let id = fun x -> x;;
val id : 'a -> 'a = <fun>
```

- ‘a is OCaml’s way of writing a type variable
- Read as “type inference has inferred that this function can be generic”

Universal Polymorphism: Examples

```
let id x = x;;  
val id : 'a -> 'a = <fun>
```

```
let f x y = y;;  
val f : 'a -> 'b -> 'b = <fun>
```

```
let g f x = f x;;  
val g : ('a -> 'b) -> 'a -> 'b = <fun>
```

Universal Polymorphism: Examples

```
let id = fun x -> x;;
id : ∀a. a -> a
```

```
let f x y = y;;
f : ∀a, b. a -> b -> b
```

```
let g f x = f x;;
g : ∀a, b. (a -> b) -> a -> b
```

Universal Polymorphism: Examples

```
let update f x v = fun y ->
  if y = x then Some v else f y;;
(* update : ('a -> 'b option) -> 'a -> 'b -> ('a -> 'b option) *)
```

```
type context = ident -> typ option
type env = ident -> value option
```

```
update (gamma : context) x t
update (r : env) x v
```

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

Universal Polymorphism

- Universal polymorphism (also *generic*, or *parametric*): a type can have any number of *universally quantified* variables
- A function can be applied at any *instantiation* of its type
- Happens when a function *doesn't care* about the type of an argument

$$\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash l : \tau_2 \mid C \quad \tau_1 \text{ fresh}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow l : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \mid C}$$

and we end up with no constraints on τ_1 in C

- So the function will do the same thing with an input of any type
- Compare to generics in C/Java, contrast with OO polymorphism

Universal Polymorphism

?

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } id = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x \text{ in } (id\ 1 = 1) \And (id\ \text{true}) : ?}$$

Universal Polymorphism

$$\frac{\dots \quad \Gamma[\text{id} \mapsto a \rightarrow a] \vdash (\text{id } 1 = 1) \And (\text{id } \text{true}) : ?}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let id} = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x \text{ in } (\text{id } 1 = 1) \And (\text{id } \text{true}) : ?}$$

Universal Polymorphism

- We said “we learn type constraints from the ways variables are used”, but that’s not true for polymorphic functions!

$$\frac{\dots \quad \Gamma[\text{id} \mapsto a \rightarrow a] \vdash (\text{id } 1=1) \And (\text{id } \text{true}) : \text{bool} \mid C}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let id = fun } x \rightarrow x \text{ in } (\text{id } 1=1) \And (\text{id } \text{true}) : \text{bool} \mid C}$$

where $C = \{a \rightarrow a = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, a \rightarrow a = \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}\}$

Unsolvable!

Universal Polymorphism: Typing

- There are now two kinds of types:
 - A *monomorphic* type, or *monotype*, doesn't have quantifiers
 - A *polymorphic* type, or *polytype*, is $\forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau$ where τ is a monotype (that uses $a_1 \dots a_n$)
- When should we assign a polytype to a term?
- *Let-polymorphism*: only at let definitions

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau}$$

Universal Polymorphism: Typing

- There are now two kinds of types:
 - A *monomorphic* type, or *monotype*, doesn't have quantifiers
 - A *polymorphic* type, or *polytype*, is $\forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau$ where τ is a monotype (that uses $a_1 \dots a_n$)
- When should we assign a polytype to a term?
- *Let-polymorphism*: only at let definitions

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau}$$

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

Let-Polymorphism: Typing

What variables should we quantify?

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau}$$

$a \rightarrow a$

$\text{id} : \forall a. a \rightarrow a$

let id = $\boxed{\text{fun } x \rightarrow x}$ in $\boxed{(\text{id } 1 = 1) \And (\text{id } \text{bool})}$

Let-Polymorphism: Typing

$$\frac{\text{where } \text{vars}(\tau_1) = a_1, \dots, a_n}{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau} \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau$$

$a \rightarrow a$

$\text{id} : \forall a. a \rightarrow a$

let id = fun x -> x in (id 1 = 1) && (id bool)

Let-Polymorphism: Typing

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau}$$

where $\text{vars}(\tau_1) = a_1, \dots, a_n$

- The type of y has to be int, not generic

$$\Gamma(y) = a \qquad b \rightarrow a \qquad f : \forall a. b. b \rightarrow a$$
$$\text{fun } y \rightarrow (\text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow y \text{ in } y + f 3)$$

Let-Polymorphism: Typing

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau}$$

where $\text{vars}(\tau_1) - \text{vars}(\Gamma) = a_1, \dots, a_n$

- The type of y has to be int, not generic

$$\Gamma(y) = a$$
$$\text{fun } y \rightarrow (\text{let } f = \underbrace{\text{fun } x \rightarrow y}_{b \rightarrow a} \text{ in } \underbrace{y + f 3}_{f : \forall b. b \rightarrow a})$$

Let-Polymorphism: Typing

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \quad \text{vars}(\tau_1) - \text{vars}(\Gamma) = a_1, \dots, a_n}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$

Let-Polymorphism: Typing

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \quad \text{vars}(\tau_1) - \text{vars}(\Gamma) = a_1, \dots, a_n}{\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau \quad [a_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, a_n \mapsto \tau_n]\tau = \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau'}$$

- We can have polytypes in Γ , but in $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$, τ is a monotype

Let-Polymorphism: Type Inference

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \mid C_1 \quad \text{vars}(\tau_1) - \text{vars}(\Gamma) = a_1, \dots, a_n}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau \mid C_2}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau \mid C_1 \cup C_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau \quad [a_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, a_n \mapsto \tau_n]\tau = \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau'}$$

- We can have polytypes in Γ , but in $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$, τ is a monotype

Let-Polymorphism: Type Inference

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \mid C_1 \quad \text{vars}(\tau_1) - \text{vars}(\Gamma) = a_1, \dots, a_n}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau \mid C_2}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau \mid C_1 \cup C_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau \quad b_1, \dots, b_n \text{ fresh}}{\Gamma \vdash x : [a_1 \mapsto b_1, \dots, a_n \mapsto b_n] \tau \mid \{ \}}$$

- We can have polytypes in Γ , but in $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$, τ is a monotype

Let-Polymorphism: Type Inference

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \mid C_1 \quad \text{vars}(\tau_1) - \text{vars}(\Gamma) = a_1, \dots, a_n}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau \mid C_2}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau \mid C_1 \cup C_2}$$

$$\frac{}{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow y \text{ in } y + f\ 3 : ? \mid ?}$$

Let-Polymorphism: Type Inference

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \mid C_1 \quad \text{vars}(\tau_1) - \text{vars}(\Gamma) = a_1, \dots, a_n}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau \mid C_2}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau \mid C_1 \cup C_2}$$

$$\frac{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow y : ? \mid ?}{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow y \text{ in } y + f 3 : ? \mid ?}$$

Let-Polymorphism: Type Inference

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \mid C_1 \quad \text{vars}(\tau_1) - \text{vars}(\Gamma) = a_1, \dots, a_n}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau \mid C_2}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau \mid C_1 \cup C_2}$$

$$\frac{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow y : b \rightarrow a \mid \{\}}{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow y \text{ in } y + f 3 : ? \mid ?}$$

Let-Polymorphism: Type Inference

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \mid C_1 \quad \text{vars}(\tau_1) - \text{vars}(\Gamma) = a_1, \dots, a_n}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau \mid C_2}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau \mid C_1 \cup C_2}$$

$$\frac{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow y : b \rightarrow a \mid \{} \quad \{y : a, f : ?\} \vdash y + f 3 : ? \mid ?}{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow y \text{ in } y + f 3 : ? \mid ?}$$

Let-Polymorphism: Type Inference

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \mid C_1 \quad \text{vars}(\tau_1) - \text{vars}(\Gamma) = a_1, \dots, a_n}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau \mid C_2}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau \mid C_1 \cup C_2}$$

$$\frac{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow y : b \rightarrow a \mid \{} \quad \{y : a, f : \forall b. b \rightarrow a\} \vdash y + f 3 : ? \mid ?}{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow y \text{ in } y + f 3 : ? \mid ?}$$

Let-Polymorphism: Type Inference

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \mid C_1 \quad \text{vars}(\tau_1) - \text{vars}(\Gamma) = a_1, \dots, a_n}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau_1] \vdash l_2 : \tau \mid C_2}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 : \tau \mid C_1 \cup C_2$$

$$\frac{\text{...} \quad \frac{\text{...} \quad \frac{\text{...}}{\{y : a, f : \forall b. b \rightarrow a\} \vdash f : ?}}{\{y : a, f : \forall b. b \rightarrow a\} \vdash y + f \ 3 : ? \mid ?}}{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow y \text{ in } y + f \ 3 : ? \mid ?}$$

Let-Polymorphism: Type Inference

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau \quad b_1, \dots, b_n \text{ fresh}}{\Gamma \vdash x : [a_1 \mapsto b_1, \dots, a_n \mapsto b_n] \tau \mid \{ \}}$$

$$\underline{\{y : a, f : \forall b. b \rightarrow a\} \vdash f : ?}$$

$$\frac{\cdots \quad \underline{\{y : a, f : \forall b. b \rightarrow a\} \vdash y + f 3 : ? \mid ?}}{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow y \text{ in } y + f 3 : ? \mid ?}$$

Let-Polymorphism: Type Inference

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \forall a_1 \dots a_n. \tau \quad b_1, \dots, b_n \text{ fresh}}{\Gamma \vdash x : [a_1 \mapsto b_1, \dots, a_n \mapsto b_n] \tau \mid \{ \}}$$

a and c will be int, but b stays quantified

$$\underline{\{y : a, f : \forall b. b \rightarrow a\} \vdash f : c \rightarrow a \mid \{ \}}$$

$$\frac{\cdots \quad \overline{\{y : a, f : \forall b. b \rightarrow a\} \vdash y + f 3 : ? \mid ?}}{\{y : a\} \vdash \text{let } f = \text{fun } x \rightarrow y \text{ in } y + f 3 : ? \mid ?}$$

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

More Universal Polymorphism

- With let-polymorphism, we can have polytypes in Γ , but when $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$, τ is a monotype

```
let id = fun x -> x in (id 1 = 1) && (id true);;
let g f = (f 1 = 1) && (f true);;
(* Type error: f takes an int, not a bool *)
```

- In let-polymorphism, a polytype never appears as an *argument*

More Universal Polymorphism

- With let-polymorphism, we can have polytypes in Γ , but when $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$, τ is a monotype
 - With full universal polymorphism, polytypes are first-class types
- ```
let g f x y = (f x = 1) && (f y);;
(* g : ($\forall a. a \rightarrow a$) \rightarrow int \rightarrow bool \rightarrow bool *)
```
- There is no type inference algorithm for full universal polymorphism!
  - We need to explicitly instantiate the polytypes at each use

# System F

$$T ::= T \rightarrow T \mid \text{<tident>} \mid \forall \text{<tident>. } T$$
$$L ::= \lambda \text{<ident>: } T. L \mid L L \mid \text{<ident>} \mid \Lambda \text{<tident>. } L \mid L [T]$$

```
let id = $\Lambda a. \lambda x: a. x$
(id [int] 1 = 1) && (id [bool] true)
```

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda a. l : \forall a. \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l : \forall a. \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash l [\tau] : [a \mapsto \tau] \tau_1}$$

- Used in some versions of Haskell, dependently-typed languages

## Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

# Universal Polymorphism

- In OCaml, a function with free type variables gets a *universal* type, and can be used at any *instantiation* of its type
- Happens when a function *doesn't care* about the type of an argument, and will do the same thing with an input of any type
- Requires only a small change to type checking/inference to automatically infer when a function can be generic
- More general universal polymorphism is possible, but if we go too general, we lose automatic type inference!

# From Typed Lambda Calculus to OCaml

- User-friendly syntax
- Basic types, tuples, records
- Inductive datatypes and pattern-matching
- Local declarations
- References
- Type inference
- Generics/polymorphism