

CS 476 – Programming Language Design

William Mansky

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

Lambda Calculus: Types

- The basic (“untyped”) lambda calculus has no meaningful types – everything is a function, and any function can be applied to anything as an argument
- But what if we add other kinds of values?

- Next language: lambda calculus with numbers

$\lambda x. x + 1$ $\lambda x. (\lambda y. x + y)$ $\lambda x. x 5$

Lambda Calculus + Ints

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda \langle \text{ident} \rangle. L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$

Values are either functions or ints:

4 $\lambda x. 3$ $\lambda x. (\lambda y. x)$ $\lambda x. (\lambda y. (x y))$

Exercise: What type should each of these expressions have?

Lambda Calculus + Ints

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda \langle \text{ident} \rangle. L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$

Values are either functions or ints:

4	$\lambda x. 3$	$\lambda x. (\lambda y. x)$	$\lambda x. (\lambda y. (x y))$
int	$\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$	$\text{int} \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$	$(\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$

Lambda Calculus + Ints

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda \langle \text{ident} \rangle. L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$

$T ::= \text{int} \mid T \rightarrow T$

Values are either functions or ints:

4	$\lambda x. 3$	$\lambda x. (\lambda y. x)$	$\lambda x. (\lambda y. x y)$
int	$\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$	$\text{int} \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$	$(\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle: T). L \mid LL \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$

$T ::= \text{int} \mid T \rightarrow T$

Values are either functions or ints:

4	$\lambda x: \text{int}. 3$	$\lambda x: \text{int}. (\lambda y: \text{int}. x)$	$\lambda(x: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}). (\lambda y: \text{int}. x y)$
int	$\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$	$\text{int} \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$	$(\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle: T). L \mid LL \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$

$T ::= \text{int} \mid T \rightarrow T$

- A function with type $A \rightarrow B$ takes type A as input and yields B as output

`bool f(int x){ ... }` would have type $\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}$

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid LL \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$

$T ::= \text{int} \mid T \rightarrow T$

- A function with type $A \rightarrow B$ takes type A as input and yields B as output

`let f (x : int) : bool = ...`

would have type $\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}$

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid LL \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$

$T ::= \text{int} \mid T \rightarrow T$

- A function with type $A \rightarrow B$ takes type A as input and yields B as output

`fun (x : int) -> ... : bool`

would have type $\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}$

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle: T). L \mid LL \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$

$T ::= \text{int} \mid T \rightarrow T$

Values are either functions or ints:

4	$\lambda x: \text{int}. 3$	$\lambda x: \text{int}. (\lambda y: \text{int}. x)$	$\lambda(x: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}). (\lambda y: \text{int}. x y)$
int	$\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$	$\text{int} \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$	$(\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus: Types

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L$

$T ::= \text{int} \mid T \rightarrow T$

$$\frac{(i \text{ is a number literal})}{\Gamma \vdash i : \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{(\Gamma(x) = \tau)}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash l_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash l_1 l_2 : \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash l : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda(x : \tau_1). l) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus: Types

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \text{int}] \vdash (\lambda y: \text{int}. x) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x: \text{int}. (\lambda y: \text{int}. x)) : \text{int} \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})} \quad \Gamma \vdash 4 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x: \text{int}. (\lambda y: \text{int}. x)) 4 : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash l_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash l_1 l_2 : \tau_2}$$

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

Limitations of Simple Types

- Not every lambda-term is well typed

$4 (\lambda x. x)$

$(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$

$\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) : \tau$

Limitations of Simple Types

- Not every lambda-term is well typed

4 $(\lambda x. x)$

$(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) : \tau}$$

Limitations of Simple Types

- Not every lambda-term is well typed

4 $(\lambda x. x)$

$(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash x x : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau} \quad \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) : \tau}$$

Limitations of Simple Types

- Not every lambda-term is well typed

4 $(\lambda x. x)$

$(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash x : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash x : \tau_2}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash x x : \tau}}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau}}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) : \tau}}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) : \tau}$$

Limitations of Simple Types

- Not every lambda-term is well typed

4 $(\lambda x. x)$

$(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$

τ_1 can't be the same as $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau$!

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash x : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash x : \tau_1}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash x x : \tau}}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau}}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) : \tau}}$$

Limitations of Simple Types

- Not every lambda-term is well typed

$4 (\lambda x. x)$

$(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$

- Untyped lambda terms can run forever, but simply-typed lambda terms always terminate!
 - This means simply-typed lambda calculus is not Turing-complete
 - Many interesting programs (ones that require loops or recursion) can't be written in STLC

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

Limitations of Simple Types

- Not every lambda-term is well typed
- Untyped lambda terms can run forever, but simply-typed lambda terms always terminate!
 - This means simply-typed lambda calculus is not Turing-complete
 - Many interesting programs (ones that require loops or recursion) can't be written in STLC
- Typed languages don't *automatically* include loops/recursion
- But we can add it in as a separate feature

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid LL \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

```
let rec f : int -> int =  
  λx : int. ifzero x then 1 else x * f (x - 1)  
in  
  f 5
```

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$$\frac{(i \text{ is a number literal})}{\Gamma \vdash i : \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{(\Gamma(x) = \tau)}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash l : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x : \tau_1). l : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{?}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let rec } x : \tau = l_1 \text{ in } l_2) : \tau_2}$$

- Exercise: How would you typecheck a **let rec**?

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$$\frac{(i \text{ is a number literal})}{\Gamma \vdash i : \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{(\Gamma(x) = \tau)}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash l : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x : \tau_1). l : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash l_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let rec } x : \tau = l_1 \text{ in } l_2) : \tau_2}$$

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$$\frac{(i \text{ is a number literal})}{\Gamma \vdash i : \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{(\Gamma(x) = \tau)}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash l : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x : \tau_1). l : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash l_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash l_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let rec } x : \tau = l_1 \text{ in } l_2) : \tau_2}$$

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$$\frac{l_1 \rightarrow l'_1}{l_1 l_2 \rightarrow l'_1 l_2}$$

$$\frac{}{(\lambda(x:\tau).l) v \rightarrow [x \mapsto v]l}$$

$$\frac{l_2 \rightarrow l'_2}{v l_2 \rightarrow v l'_2}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{let rec } x : \tau = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 \rightarrow ?}$$

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$\text{let rec } f = \lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1) \text{ in}$
 $f \ 5 \rightarrow$

$(\lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1)) \ 5$

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$\text{let rec } f = \lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1) \text{ in}$
 $f \ 5 \rightarrow$

$(\lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1)) \ 5 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow$
 $5 * f \ 4$

But what is f ?

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$\text{let rec } f = \lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1)$
 $\text{in } f \ 5 \rightarrow$

$\text{let rec } f = \lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1)$
 $\text{in } (\lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1)) \ 5$

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

```
let rec f =  $\lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1)$   
  in ( $\lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1)$ ) 5
```


Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$\text{let rec } f = \lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1)$
 $\text{in } 5 * f 4$

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$\text{let rec } f = \lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1)$
 $\text{in } 5 * (\lambda x. \text{ifzero } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x * f (x-1)) 4$

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid L L \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

`let rec f = $\lambda x.$ ifzero x then 1 else x*f (x-1)`
`in (* after many steps *) 120`

$\rightarrow 120$

Typed Lambda Calculus with Recursion

$L ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle \mid \lambda(\langle \text{ident} \rangle : T). L \mid LL \mid \langle \# \rangle \mid L + L \mid L - L$
 $\mid \text{ifzero } L \text{ then } L \text{ else } L \mid \text{let rec } \langle \text{ident} \rangle : T = L \text{ in } L$

$\text{let rec } x : \tau = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 \rightarrow \text{let rec } x : \tau = l_1 \text{ in } [x \mapsto l_1]l_2$

$l_2 \rightarrow l'_2$

$\text{let rec } x : \tau = l_1 \text{ in } l_2 \rightarrow \text{let rec } x : \tau = l_1 \text{ in } l'_2$

$\text{let rec } x : \tau = l_1 \text{ in } v \rightarrow v$

Questions

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.