

Access Control

CS 594 Special Topics/Kent Law School:
Computer and Network Privacy and Security: Ethical, Legal, and

What is access control?

- In its broadest sense: “The prevention of unauthorized use of a resource, including the prevention of use of a resource in an unauthorized manner.” (ITU-T Recommendation X.800)
- Often used to mean this same issue restricted to one computer: Which principals are allowed to perform which

Relation to other security pieces

- Authentication comes first before access control is an issue (i.e., logon).
- Authorization is the meta-level thing of deciding what your access rights are
- Audit is independent review of everything else.

History

- Old subject as part of single-computer Operating System (OS); 1970s or earlier
- Same issues for centuries in physical world; also same issues in databases
- Historic heart of computer security; e.g., distinguishes field from cryptography

Operating Systems

- The special computer program that is always running on any computer, and that is responding to keystrokes, mouse clicks, etc.
- I.e., provides user (programs) with controlled access to hardware components.
- Today, overwhelmingly, general computers (laptops, desktops, servers) running OS

All OS contain bugs

- OS is very large; there will be a certain bug rate
- Issue: in last 10–15 years, the kernel, the part that really controls access to hardware, has grown hugely. Once upon a time, at least the kernel might have had very few bugs that were security vulnerabilities.

Unix

- Operating System developed in the 1970s at AT&T Bell labs, also slightly later U. C. Berkeley.
- Today, two main strains:
 1. Linux
 2. Mac OS X
- Generally considered more secure, better

Access control types

- Traditionally distinguish **Mandatory Access Control (MAC)** from **Discretionary Access Control (DAC)**
- MAC: Also known as Multi-Level Security; concerned especially with classified information (Mil Sec) at different levels on one computer.

DAC: Access (Control) Matrix

- (Lampson '71)
- **Principals** (processes? users?) perform **operations** on **objects** (files? files and other resources like comm ports?)
- Intuitively, giant matrix of Principals vs. objects with entries showing which operations will be allowed.

Access matrix (cont)

- I.e., access matrix a contains in entry $a[i,j]$ the set of permissions that Principal i has for operating on object j .
- *Permission* is right to perform an operation, typically read, write, execute, append
- Access matrix sparse and/or uniform. I.e., either most entries blank, or tons of entries all just say “read”

Example

	File 1	File 2	Device	PI
PI	read,write, execute, own	read	read	control
P2	read		write	wakeup

To complete a system

- What rules decide how the access matrix evolves over time?
- E.g., each object is born with exactly one owner, and owner can fill in its column
- Various rules have been studied; can get quite complex. (At surprisingly low level complexity of rules, the analysis of what might happen gets very complicated.)

Access matrix issues

- Might want a third dimension of “With what program?” I.e., I can write the Research Funds database with the accounting program, but not a text editor
- Even in 2 D, will not scale well to 10,000 users and 1 million objects
- In practice, keep rows (“capabilities”) or columns (“access control list—ACL”) and

Unix and DAC

- Permissions kept per object; effectively ACL.
- 3 kinds of permission: read, write, execute (also an owner)
- Only 3 different classes of users: Owner, group, other (all other users on this computer)

SUID Vulnerability

- Notice access control mentions only users and objects, no mention of which program the user runs (3rd dimension).
- Problem: I want to change my password. I should not be allowed to alter the password file, but I should be able to run the password-changing program and it should be able to change the file.
- Solution: Certain programs run with privileges of *program owner*, typically *root*, not privileges of user running the program. Mechanism is called “set user ID (SUID)”.

Windows File System Security

- NTFS: NT File System, inspired by Unix.
- Much like Unix, but more total distinct permissions
- Many additional issues and features with Windows Server; will not cover.

Intermezzo: MAC

- Various known (and with subtle differences) as “multi-level security,” mandatory access control, lattice-based access control.
- Classic example is Mil Sec: unclassified, confidential, secret, top secret, with extreme concern re information flow.
- Tolerably well understood; not the center

OS: What goes wrong

- Typically Black Hats consider it easy to gain super user access to a machine once they have gotten ordinary user access
- One (among several) excellent ways to do so is the infamous *buffer overflow*, aka *buffer overrun* aka *smashing the stack*.

Smashing the stack

- Can view the computer's memory (say RAM) as a very big collection of slots each of which holds one word (1, 2, 4, or 8 bytes).
- Both data storage and the actual program instructions all live somewhere in there.
- One section is set aside for managing the running of currently executing program,

Stack smashing (cont.)

- One thing that definitely goes in run-time stack are arguments to programs.
- E.g., the “Ichabod” part of `lookup(“Ichabod”)`
- Programmer has to say how much space to leave for this argument. For an arbitrary string that is supposed to be a person’s name, might allocate 32 characters, or 256

Buffer overflow (cont.)

- The 100,000 characters bleed over much of the memory, and the end of them wind up in the section of the memory where program instructions live.
- E.g., write 30,000 “No op” lines (to allow for missing in lining thing up) followed by short program to (l) create new superuser account with empty password,

What's C got to do with it?

- Many OS are written in C, great new language of the late 1970s.
- By default, C does not check length of inputs to character arrays in, e.g., `strcpy`.
- Can check this using C; it's just not automatic. (`strncpy` with length, not `strcpy`).

History of buffer overflow

- According to Anderson, well known weakness in 1960s.
- Vulnerability exploited by Robert Morris Internet Worm of 1988. (Day without Internet.)
- > 1/2 of early 2000s course MCS 494 on Unix security holes of DJB.

Environmental creep again

- Unix was created for environment with trusted competent users who occasionally messed up at Bell Labs in the 1970s.
- This still mostly described users and the young Internet of the mid 1980s.
- Today, mostly technically incompetent users (drivers not automotive engineers) and some malicious.

Contrarian view

- We are moving back to one computer per person. Access control not necessarily important.
- Access control is to separate different users from one another on one machine.
- And machines that are not one user are instead one purpose (e.g., web server).