

by plugging into (1), (2)
 So $\frac{\partial \tilde{N}_i}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{ap} = \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial \tilde{N}_i}{\partial x_j} \Big|_p$ So $\tilde{K}(v) = \frac{K(v)}{a}$, which is ~~also~~ true at the ^{all (shared)} stationary points. $\text{on } \|v\|=1$

But mean curvature H is the ~~average~~ average of K at stationary points, thus $H(ap) = \frac{1}{a} H(p)$

(d) K (Gauss-Kronecker curvature) is the product of $k(v)$ at stationary points

$$\text{So } K(ap) = a^{-n} k(p)$$

Remark Above argument based on stationary points is not strict enough, especially considering the multiplicity of L_p 's eigenvalues. A better proof is: ~~$\forall v, w \in S_p$~~ As $\nabla g(p) = \frac{1}{a} \nabla f(p)$

$$\text{So } S_p = \tilde{S}_{ap}, \forall v, w \in \tilde{S}_{ap}, L_p(v) \cdot w = \frac{1}{2} [k(v+w) - k(v) - k(w)] \quad \text{as } \tilde{K}(\cdot) = K(\cdot)/a$$

$$\text{let } \tilde{L}_p \text{ be Weingarten map on } \tilde{S} \text{ at } ap \rightarrow \tilde{L}_p(v) \cdot w = \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{K}(v+w) - \tilde{K}(v) - \tilde{K}(w)] = \frac{1}{a} \tilde{L}_p(v) \cdot w$$

Since w is arbitrary in S_p , so $\tilde{L}_p(v) = \frac{1}{a} L_p(v)$ So each eigenvalue λ_i of \tilde{L}_p corresponds to the eigenvalue λ_i/a of L_p . As H and K are average/product of eigenvalues, we have

$$H(ap) = \frac{1}{a} H(p) \quad k(ap) = a^{-n} k(p) \quad \text{if ~~not~~ ^{proof is} set } w = \tilde{L}_p(v) - \frac{1}{a} L_p(v) \in S_p$$

then one has $(\tilde{L}_p(v) - \frac{1}{a} L_p(v)) \cdot (\tilde{L}_p(v) - \frac{1}{a} L_p(v)) = 0$ So $\tilde{L}_p(v) = \frac{1}{a} L_p(v)$

13.1 If S is convex at p , then h_u ($u = N(p)$ Gauss map) attains local max/min at p . So \mathcal{H}_p is semi-definite, so $\mathcal{K}_p = \pm \mathcal{H}_p$ is also semi-definite. But the Gauss-Kronecker curvature, which is equal to the product of all eigenvalues of \mathcal{K}_p , is negative. As S_p is even dimensional, there must be one positive and one negative eigenvalue, which contradicts the fact that \mathcal{K}_p is semi-definite. So S is not convex at p .

$$13.2 \quad \forall v, w \in S_p \quad \nabla_v(\text{grad } h)w = \nabla_v(\nabla h - (\nabla h \cdot N)N)w = \nabla_v(\nabla h)w - (\nabla h \cdot N)(\nabla_v N \cdot w)$$

$$\nabla_w(\text{grad } h)v = \nabla_w(\nabla h - (\nabla h \cdot N)N)v = \nabla_w(\nabla h)v - (\nabla h \cdot N)(\nabla_w N \cdot v)$$

We know L_p is self-adjoint, i.e., $\nabla_v N \cdot w = \nabla_w N \cdot v$. Besides,

$$\nabla_v(\nabla h)w = v^T H w = w^T H v = \nabla_w(\nabla h)v \quad \text{So } \nabla_v(\text{grad } h)w = \nabla_w(\text{grad } h)v, \text{ so self-adjoint}$$

13.3 (a) \Rightarrow If \mathcal{Q} is pos Def, then \forall eigenvector v , $\mathcal{Q}(v) = \lambda v$, $\mathcal{Q}(v) \cdot v = \lambda > 0$ as \mathcal{Q} is Pos Def

\Leftarrow We know that the eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n make up an orthonormal basis on S_p . $\forall v \in S_p$.

$$\text{let } v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \quad \mathcal{Q}(v) \cdot v = \mathcal{Q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i\right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathcal{Q}(v_i)\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i\right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda_i v_i\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i\right) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \lambda_i \geq 0 \quad \text{because } \lambda_i \geq 0$$

It is equal to 0 iff $\sum a_i = 0$, i.e. $v = 0$

(b) \Leftarrow Since \mathcal{L} is self-adjoint linear transformation, its associated matrix L is symmetric so it has two real valued eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 . $\det \mathcal{L} > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$ But if $\lambda_1 < 0, \lambda_2 < 0$, then \mathcal{L} is negative definition, i.e., there can't be any v $\mathcal{Q}(v) > 0$. Thus $\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0$.