

17.14 (a) Linear multilinearity is obvious. We only need to prove skew-symmetry. To this end, we only need to prove for $\forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, k+l\}$, $(W_1 \wedge W_2)(V_i \dots V_i \dots V_j \dots V_{k+l}) = \boxed{\text{_____}}$
 $= -(W_1 \wedge W_2)(V_i \dots V_j \dots V_i \dots V_{k+l})$.

For $\forall \sigma$, if let p, q s.t. $\sigma(p)=i, \sigma(q)=j$. If $p, q \leq k$, then V_i, V_j both appear in W_1 under such σ , so swapping V_i, V_j will just inverse the sign. The same happens if $p, q > k$.

If $p \leq k, q > k$, then look at $\hat{\sigma}$ which is the same as σ except $\hat{\sigma}(p)=j, \hat{\sigma}(q)=i$.

So $\text{sign } \hat{\sigma} = -\text{sign } \sigma$. For $(W_1 \wedge W_2)(V_i \dots V_i \dots V_j \dots V_{k+l})$ we have summands

$$(\text{sign } \sigma) W_1(\dots V_i \dots) W_2(\dots V_j \dots) - (\text{sign } \hat{\sigma}) W_1(\dots V_j \dots) W_2(\dots V_i \dots)$$

For $(W_1 \wedge W_2)(V_i \dots V_j \dots V_i \dots V_{k+l})$, we have summands

$$(\text{sign } \sigma) W_1(\dots V_j \dots) W_2(\dots V_i \dots) - (\text{sign } \hat{\sigma}) W_1(\dots V_i \dots) W_2(\dots V_j \dots)$$

So ~~from~~ the summands for swapped V_i, V_j have opposite sign.

This also happens to $p > k, q \leq k$. So in all $(W_1 \wedge W_2)(V_i \dots V_i \dots V_j \dots V_{k+l}) = -(W_1 \wedge W_2)(V_i \dots V_j \dots V_i \dots V_n)$.

(b) We only need to prove that if

$$(\sigma(1) \dots \sigma(k), \sigma(k+1) \dots \sigma(k+l)) = (\hat{\sigma}(l+1) \dots \hat{\sigma}(k+l), \hat{\sigma}(1) \dots \hat{\sigma}(l)), \text{ i.e.}$$

$$W_1(V_{\sigma(1)} \dots V_{\sigma(k)}) \cdot W_2(V_{\sigma(k+1)} \dots V_{\sigma(k+l)}) = W_2(V_{\hat{\sigma}(l+1)} \dots V_{\hat{\sigma}(k+l)}) \cdot W_1(V_{\hat{\sigma}(1)} \dots V_{\hat{\sigma}(l)}), \text{ then}$$

$\text{sign } \sigma = (-1)^{k+l} \text{ sign } \hat{\sigma}$. This boils down to how many number of swaps is needed in order to change $(a_1 \dots a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+l})$ to $(a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+l} a_1 \dots a_k)$, and we only care about the odd/even of the number. One schedule is pushing a_{k+1} ahead by swapping with the element to its left for k times, i.e. $(a_1 \dots a_{k-1} a_k a_{k+1}) \rightarrow (a_1 \dots a_{k-1} a_{k+1} a_k) \rightarrow (a_1 \dots a_{k+1} a_{k-1} a_k) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (a_{k+1} a_1 \dots a_k \dots)$. Doing the same for a_{k+2}, \dots, a_{k+l} , then we change ~~$a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+l}$~~ $(a_1 \dots a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+l})$ to $(a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+l} a_1 \dots a_k)$ in kl steps.

Since the odd/even of step number is independent of schedule.

We proved $\text{sign } \sigma = (-1)^{kl} \text{ sign } \hat{\sigma}$.

$$\begin{aligned} (c) \quad & (W_1 \wedge (W_2 + W_3)) = \frac{1}{k!l!} \sum_{\sigma} (\text{sign } \sigma) W_1(V_{\sigma(1)} \dots V_{\sigma(k)}) (W_2 + W_3)(V_{\sigma(k+1)} \dots V_{\sigma(k+l)}) \\ & = \frac{1}{k!l!} \sum_{\sigma} (\text{sign } \sigma) W_1(V_{\sigma(1)} \dots V_{\sigma(k)}) W_2(V_{\sigma(k+1)} \dots V_{\sigma(k+l)}) + \frac{1}{k!l!} \sum_{\sigma} (\text{sign } \sigma) W_1(V_{\sigma(1)} \dots V_{\sigma(k)}) W_3(V_{\sigma(k+1)} \dots V_{\sigma(k+l)}) \\ & = (W_1 \wedge W_2) + (W_1 \wedge W_3) \end{aligned}$$

$$(d) \quad (W_1 \wedge W_2) \wedge W_3 = \frac{1}{k!l!m!(k+l)!} \sum_{\sigma, \hat{\sigma}} (\text{sign } \sigma) (\text{sign } \hat{\sigma}) W_1(V_{\sigma(1)} \dots V_{\sigma(\hat{\sigma}(1))}) W_2(V_{\sigma(\hat{\sigma}(1))} \dots V_{\sigma(\hat{\sigma}(k+l))}) W_3(V_{\sigma(\hat{\sigma}(k+l))} \dots V_{\sigma(\hat{\sigma}(k+l+m))})$$

where σ is a permutation of $1 \dots (k+l+m)$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ is a permutation of $1 \dots k+l$. $(*)$

Notice $(\text{sign } \sigma) (\text{sign } \hat{\sigma}) = \text{sign } (\sigma \circ \hat{\sigma})$. (we can define $\hat{\sigma}(i)=i$ for $i > k+l$).

For each $W_1(V_{i_1} \dots V_{i_k}) W_2(V_{i_{k+1}} \dots V_{i_{k+l}}) W_3(V_{i_{k+l+1}} \dots V_{i_{k+l+m}})$, there exist $(k+l)!$ different combinations of σ and $\hat{\sigma}$ which finally results in this order of subscript by permutating from $(1 \dots k+l+m)$. In fact, for any $\hat{\sigma}$, there exists a unique σ , such that $\sigma \circ \hat{\sigma}$ yields above subscripts. Besides, all such combinations have the same sign of $\sigma \circ \hat{\sigma}$. So $(*)$ is